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## Table of RBFs

| $\phi(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ | Name (acronym) |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\sqrt{1+(\varepsilon\\|x\\|)^{2}}$ | Multiquadric (MQ) |
| $\frac{1}{1+(\varepsilon\\|x\\|)^{2}}$ | Inverse Quadratic (IQ) |
| $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+(\varepsilon\\|x\\|)^{2}}}$ | Inverse Multiquadric (IMQ) |
| $e^{-(\varepsilon\\|x\\|)^{2}}$ <br> $\\|x\\|^{2 k+1}$ <br> $\\|x\\|^{2 k} \log \\|x\\|, k \in \mathbb{N}$ | Polyharmonic Spline (PHS) |

$$
\|\cdot\|=\|\cdot\|_{2}
$$

# Some RBFs in 1D 

## Polyharmonic Spline RBFs



Infinitely Differentiable RBFs


## Example: Equi-spaced Interpolation in 1D

## PHS Basis Functions

Approximation



## Structure of the Linear System



Least Squares Parabola:
$\mu_{1}+\mu_{2} x+\mu_{3} x^{2}$

PHS Interpolant:
$\sum_{j=1}^{5} \lambda_{j}\left|x-x_{j}\right|^{3}+\mu_{1}+\mu_{2} x+\mu_{3} x^{2}$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc|ccc}
0 & \left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{3} & \left|x_{1}-x_{3}\right|^{3} & \left|x_{1}-x_{4}\right|^{3} & \left|x_{1}-x_{5}\right|^{3} & 1 & x_{1} & x_{1}^{2} \\
\left|x_{2}-x_{1}\right|^{3} & 0 & \left|x_{2}-x_{3}\right|^{3} & \left|x_{2}-x_{4}\right|^{3} & \left|x_{2}-x_{5}\right|^{3} & 1 & x_{2} & x_{2}^{2} \\
\left|x_{3}-x_{1}\right|^{3} & \left|x_{3}-x_{2}\right|^{3} & 0 & \left|x_{3}-x_{4}\right|^{3} & \left|x_{3}-x_{5}\right|^{3} & 1 & x_{3} & x_{3}^{2} \\
\left|x_{4}-x_{1}\right|^{3} & \left|x_{4}-x_{2}\right|^{3} & \left|x_{4}-x_{3}\right|^{3} & 0 & \left|x_{4}-x_{5}\right|^{3} & 1 & x_{4} & x_{4}^{2} \\
\left|x_{5}-x_{1}\right|^{3} & \left|x_{5}-x_{2}\right|^{3} & \left|x_{5}-x_{3}\right|^{3} & \left|x_{5}-x_{4}\right|^{3} & 0 & 1 & x_{5} & x_{5}^{2} \\
\hline 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\lambda_{2} \\
x_{1}^{2} & x_{2} & x_{3} & x_{4} & x_{5} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\lambda_{3} \\
\lambda_{4} \\
\lambda_{4} & x_{3}^{2} & x_{4}^{2} & x_{5}^{2} & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
f_{1} \\
- \\
\mu_{1} \\
f_{2} \\
\mu_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
f_{3} \\
f_{3} \\
f_{4} \\
f_{5} \\
- \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Properties of Polyharmonic Splines

- PHS basis includes both RBFs and polynomials
- RBFs improve performance and allow the use of irregular nodes
- polynomials give convergence to smooth solutions (no saturation error)
- The interpolation problem is guaranteed to have a unique solution provided that polynomials are included up to the required degree, and the nodes are unisolvent. For $k=1,2,3, \ldots$
- $\quad \phi(x)=\|x\|^{2 k} \log \|x\|$
* Polynomials up to degree $k$ or higher
- $\phi(x)=\|x\|^{2 k+1}$
- Polynomials up to degree $k$ or higher
- Rule of thumb for modest polynomial degrees: Twice as many RBFs as polynomials
- Condition number of PHS A-matrix is invariant under rotation, translation, and uniform scaling
- No need to search for optimal shape parameter


## Interpolation in 2D $[\boldsymbol{x}=(x, y)]$

Given nodes $\left\{\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ and corresponding function values $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$, find a linear combination of RBF and polynomial basis functions that matches the data exactly.

1. Assume the appropriate form of the underlying approximation:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Phi(x, y)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \phi_{j}(x, y)+\sum_{k=1}^{m} \mu_{k} p_{k}(x, y) \\
\text { where } \phi_{j}(x, y)=\phi\left(x-x_{j}, y-y_{j}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

2. Require $\Phi$ to match the data at each node:

$$
\Phi\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \phi_{j}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{m} \mu_{k} p_{k}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)=f_{i}, \quad i=1,2,3, \ldots, n
$$

3. Enforce regularity conditions on the coefficients $\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\}$ :

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} p_{k}\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right)=0, \quad k=1,2,3, \ldots, m
$$

4. Solve the symmetric linear system for $\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mu_{k}\right\}$.

## Differentiation Weights in 2D

Interpolation Problem:

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{A} & \mathbf{P} \\
\mathbf{p}^{T} & \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\lambda} \\
\boldsymbol{\mu}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{f} \\
\boldsymbol{O}
\end{array}\right],} \\
a_{i j}=\phi_{j}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)=\phi\left(x_{i}-x_{j}, y_{i}-y_{j}\right), \quad i, j=1,2,3, \ldots, n, \\
p_{i k}=p_{k}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right), \quad i=1,2,3, \ldots, n, \quad k=1,2,3, \ldots, m .
\end{gathered}
$$

Use $[L \Phi](\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$ to approximate $[L f](\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[L \Phi](\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j}\left[L \phi_{j}\right](\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})+\sum_{k=1}^{m} \mu_{k}\left[L p_{k}\right](\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})} \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{b} & \boldsymbol{c}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\lambda \\
\boldsymbol{\mu}
\end{array}\right]=\underbrace{\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{b} & \boldsymbol{c}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{A} & \mathbf{P} \\
\mathbf{P}^{T} & \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right]^{-1}\right)}_{\text {weights }}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{f} \\
\boldsymbol{0}
\end{array}\right], \\
& b_{j}=\left[L \phi_{j}\right](\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}), \quad j=1,2,3, \ldots, n, \\
& c_{k}=\left[L p_{k}\right](\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}), \quad k=1,2,3, \ldots, m .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Derivative Approximation on the Sphere

- Given: nodes $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \mathbf{z}]$ on the sphere and function values $\boldsymbol{f}$
- Find: differentiation matrices (DMs) $\mathbf{W}_{x}, \mathbf{W}_{y}, \mathbf{W}_{z}$ to approximate $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\prime}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$
- Method: Use the fact that $\nabla f(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z})$ is tangent to the sphere at $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z})$
- For each node, get orthogonal unit vectors $\boldsymbol{e}_{\hat{x}}$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_{\hat{y}}$ tangent to the sphere
- Use 2D method to get matrices $\mathbf{W}_{\hat{x}}$ and $\mathbf{W} \hat{\hat{y}}$ that approximate $\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{y}}$
- $\nabla f=\boldsymbol{e}_{\hat{x}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \hat{x}}+\boldsymbol{e}_{\hat{y}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \hat{y}}$
- $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}=(\nabla f)_{1}=\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{\hat{x}}\right)_{1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \hat{x}}+\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{\hat{y}}\right)_{1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \hat{y}}=\left\{\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{\hat{x}}\right)_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}}+\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{\hat{y}}\right)_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{y}}\right\} f$
- $\mathbf{W}_{x}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{\hat{x}}\right)_{1}\right\} \mathbf{W}_{\hat{x}}+\operatorname{diag}\left\{\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{\hat{y}}\right)_{1}\right\} \mathbf{W}_{\hat{y}}$
- $\mathbf{W}_{y}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{\hat{x}}\right)_{2}\right\} \mathbf{W}_{\hat{x}}+\operatorname{diag}\left\{\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{\hat{y}}\right)_{2}\right\} \mathbf{W}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}}$
- $\mathbf{W}_{z}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{\hat{x}}\right)_{3}\right\} \mathbf{W}_{\hat{x}}+\operatorname{diag}\left\{\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{\hat{y}}\right)_{3}\right\} \mathbf{W}_{\hat{y}}$


## Semi-Lagrangian Transport

- Governing Equations (velocity $\boldsymbol{u}$ is a known function)
- $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}=-\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \rho-\rho \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}, \quad$ (Eulerian, short time-steps)
- $\frac{D q}{D t}=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla\right) q=0$. (Semi-Lagrangian, long time-steps)
- Quasi-Monotone Limiter for $q$ (const. along flow trajectories)
- $m_{k}=\min _{\ell}\left\{q_{k_{\ell}}^{(n)}\right\}$ and $M_{k}=\max _{\ell}\left\{q_{k_{\ell}}^{(n)}\right\}$
- Set $q_{k}^{(n+1)}=\min \left\{q_{k}^{(n+1)}, M_{k}\right\}$
- Set $q_{k}^{(n+1)}=\max \left\{q_{k}^{(n+1)}, m_{k}\right\}$
- Mass Fixer (tracerMass $\equiv \sum_{k=1}^{N} \rho_{k} q_{k} V_{k}$ )
- If tracerMass < initialMass, add mass in cells with $q_{k}<M_{k}$
- If tracerMass $>$ initialMass, subtract mass from cells with $q_{k}>m_{k}$


## Semi-Lagrangian Transport



## Pre-Processing

- Get DMs $\mathbf{W}_{x}, \mathbf{W}_{y}$, and $\mathbf{W}_{z}$ for the continuity equation
- Find index of the $n$ nearest neighbors to each node
- For each node $\boldsymbol{x}_{k}=\left(x_{k}, y_{k}, z_{k}\right)$, write its $n$ neighbors in terms of two orthogonal unit vectors ( $\boldsymbol{e}_{\hat{x}}, \boldsymbol{e}_{\hat{y}}$ ) tangent to the sphere at $\boldsymbol{x}_{k}$, and one unit vector $\boldsymbol{e}_{\hat{z}}$ normal to the sphere at $\boldsymbol{x}_{k}$, so that

$$
\boldsymbol{x}_{k_{\ell}}=\hat{x}_{k_{\ell}} \boldsymbol{e}_{\hat{x}}+\hat{y}_{k_{\ell}} \boldsymbol{e}_{\hat{y}}+\hat{z}_{k_{\ell}} \boldsymbol{e}_{\hat{z}}, \quad \ell=1,2,3, \ldots, n .
$$

- Set $\mathbf{C}_{k}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathbf{A}_{k} & \mathbf{P}_{k} \\ \mathbf{P}_{k}^{T} & \mathbf{O}_{6 \times 6}\end{array}\right]^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{c}\mathbf{I}_{n} \\ \mathbf{0}_{6 \times n}\end{array}\right]$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\mathbf{A}_{k}\right)_{i j}=\phi\left(\hat{x}_{k_{i}}-\hat{x}_{k_{j}}, \hat{y}_{k_{i}}-\hat{y}_{k_{j}}\right), \quad i, j=1,2,3, \ldots, n, \\
\mathbf{P}_{k}=\left[\mathbf{1}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{y}}_{k}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k}^{2}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k} \widehat{\boldsymbol{y}}_{k}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{y}}_{k}^{2}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

## Time Stepping

1. Step $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}=-\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \rho-\rho \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}$ from $t_{n}$ to $t_{n+1}$ using several explicit Eulerian time steps (RK3)
2. Step $\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}=-\boldsymbol{u}$ from $t_{n+1}$ to $t_{n}$ to get departure points (RK4)
3. Find the nearest fixed neighbor to each departure point
4. Use the corresponding pre-calculated cardinal coefficients $\left\{\mathbf{C}_{k}\right\}$ and the newly formed row-vectors $\left\{\boldsymbol{b}_{k}\right\}$ to get rows of the interpolation matrix $\mathbf{W}\left(\mathbf{W}_{k}=\boldsymbol{b}_{k} \mathbf{C}_{k}\right)$
5. Update $q$ on fixed nodes using weights $\mathbf{W}$
6. Cycle quasi-monotone limiter and mass-fixer until the tracer mass is nearly equal to the initial tracer mass (diff<1e-13)
7. Repeat

## Hyperviscosity

- Add a small dissipative term to the continuity equation

$$
\text { - } \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}=-\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \rho-\rho \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}+\gamma \max \|\boldsymbol{u}\|(\Delta x)^{2 K-1} \Delta^{K} \rho
$$

- Reduce high-frequency noise while keeping order of convergence intact
- Achieve stability in time using explicit time-stepping
- PHS are ideal for hyperviscosity, because applying the Laplace operator to a PHS returns another PHS
- $\phi(x, y)=\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{m / 2}$
- $[\Delta \phi](x, y)=\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial y^{2}}=m^{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{(m-2) / 2}$
- Parameter $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ is determined experimentally at low resolution, and remains unchanged as resolution increases


## Transport Test Cases on the Sphere

- Initial Condition $q_{0}$ ( $\rho_{0}=1$ in all cases)
- Taken from Nair and Lauritzen, 2010 (NL2010)
- Gaussian Hills (infinitely differentiable)
- Cosine Bells (once continuously differentiable)
- Slotted Cylinders (not continuous)
- Velocity Field (NL2010)
- Case 1: Translating, vorticity-dominated flow $\left(\mathrm{CFL}_{\max }=\frac{\max \|u\| \Delta t}{\Delta x} \approx 8\right)$
- Case 2: Translating, divergence-dominated flow ( $\mathrm{CFL}_{\text {max }} \approx 5$ )
- Spatial Approximations (Minimum Energy (ME) Nodes)
- Number of nodes $N=24^{2}(576), 48^{2}(2304), 96^{2}(9216), 192^{2}(36864)$
- Interpolation (semi-Lagrangian tracer transport)

$$
=\|x\|^{3}+p 1+n 19
$$

- Derivative Approximation (Eulerian continuity equation)
- $\|x\|^{2} \log \|x\|+p 5+n 42$
- Time-stepping from $t=0$ to $t=5$ (one revolution)


## Nodes and Initial Conditions for $q$



Time Snapshots, Velocity Case 1


Time Snapshots, Velocity Case 2


## GH, Velocity Case 1, Unlimited



## CB, Velocity Case 1, Unlimited



## SC, Velocity Case 1, Unlimited



## GH, Velocity Case 1

Unlimited

$\min =-0.00249482626257, \max =1.01588345127470$


## Limited

$\min =0.00002984696951, \max =0.92429725643002$

$\min =0.00008379024860, \max =0.99520378372538$

$\min =0.00003248902886, \max =0.99926131174047$


## CB, Velocity Case 1



## SC, Velocity Case 1

Unlimited

$\min =-0.20670256411399, \max =1.24840235689522$
$\begin{array}{ll}n & \circ \\ 0 & \sim \\ 0 & \cdots \\ 2 & 21\end{array}$



## Limited

$\min =0.00000000000000, \max =0.96860295202275$

$\min =0.00000000000000, \max =0.98541040704422$

$\min =0.00000000000000, \max =0.99524480917302$


## GH, Velocity Case 2

Unlimited
$\min =0.00000007808493, \max =0.96999177983855$

$\min =0.00000007571221, \max =1.01508973972181$


## Limited

$\min =0.00001197427628, \max =0.94904534685949$

$\min =0.00000870799572, \max =0.98992711564059$

$\min =0.00000012431515, \max =0.99616671662682$


## CB, Velocity Case 2



## SC, Velocity Case 2

Unlimited

$\min =-\mathbf{0} .16301110615044, \max =1.26414651431357$
 $\begin{array}{ll} \\ N & 0 \\ \text { N } & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots \\ \text { Il } & 2 l \\ < & \end{array}$


## Limited

## $\min =0.00000000000000, \max =0.97455669006563$


$\min =0.00000000000000, \max =0.99826644814232$

$\min =0.00000000000000, \max =0.99977379282579$


## Advantages of Semi-Lagrangian Transport

- Large Time steps $\left(\frac{\max \|\boldsymbol{u}\| \Delta t}{\Delta x} \gg 1\right)$
- Simple governing equation and solution algorithm
- $\frac{D q}{D t}=0 \quad\left(\frac{D}{D t}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla\right)$
- $q$ is constant along flow trajectories
- No spatial derivatives
- (1) time-step for departure points, (2) interpolate for new values of $q$
- No need for hyperviscosity
- High frequencies automatically damped by repeated interpolation
- Numerical solutions remain bounded even if the node set is poorly distributed
- Simple limiter to reduce oscillations and preserve bounds


## Shallow Water Model

Governing Equations:
$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial t}=-\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u}-f(\widehat{\boldsymbol{k}} \times \boldsymbol{u})-g \nabla h$,
$\frac{\partial h^{*}}{\partial t}=-\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla h^{*}-h^{*}(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u})$.

- $f=2 \Omega \sin \theta$, where $\Omega=$ angular velocity of Earth, $\theta=$ latitude
- $\widehat{\boldsymbol{k}}$ is the unit normal to the sphere
- $g$ is gravitational acceleration
- $h=h_{s}(x, y, z)+h^{*}(x, y, z, t)$ is the depth of the fluid

Note: The velocity $\boldsymbol{u}$ is adjusted after every Runge-Kutta stage to remain tangent to the sphere $(\boldsymbol{u} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{u}-(\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \widehat{\boldsymbol{k}}) \widehat{\boldsymbol{k}})$

Maximum Determinant (MD)

Hammersley


## Shallow Water Test Cases

- Taken from Williamson et al, JCP 1992
- Steady-state smooth flow
- $h_{s}=0$
- Exact solution known
- Flow over an isolated mountain
- $h_{s}$ is a cone-shaped mountain centered at $(\lambda, \theta)=\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{6}\right)$
- Exact solution unavailable
- Rossby-Haurwitz Wave
- $\boldsymbol{u}_{0}$ and $h_{0}$ satisfy the barotropic vorticity equations
- $h_{s}=0$
- Exact solution unavailable


## Parameters for Shallow Water Tests

- Derivative approximations $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)$
- $\phi(\underline{x})=\|\underline{x}\|^{2} \log \|\underline{x}\|$
- Polynomials up to degree 5
- Stencil size 42 (twice as many RBFs as polynomials)
- Hyperviscosity ( $\Delta^{3}$ )
- $\phi(\underline{x})=\|\underline{x}\|^{7}$
- Polynomials up to degree 5
- Stencil size 42
- Parameter $\gamma=2^{-12} \approx 2.4 \times 10^{-4}$
- Time Stepping (3 stage, $3^{\text {rd }}$ order Runge-Kutta)

|  | $N=\mathbf{2 4}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $N=\mathbf{4 8}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $N=\mathbf{9 6}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $N=\mathbf{1 9 2}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta t$ (minutes) | 36 | 18 | 9 | 4.5 |

## Error Growth in Time

MD


Hammersley


## Convergence Verification $(t=5)$



## Time Snapshots, Isolated Mountain

## Flow over Mountain, $t=15$



## Rossby-Haurwitz, $t=14$






Hammersley


## Strengths of PHS RBF-FD

- Simple and accurate on the sphere
- Local and well suited for parallel computations
- Free from coordinate singularities
- Discretize directly from Cartesian equations
- Geometrically flexible
- Does not require a mesh
- Static Node Refinement
- Dynamic Node Refinement
- Robust
- Same configuration (basis, stencil-size, hyperviscosity parameter) runs on a wide variety of node-sets and test problems
- $\|\boldsymbol{x}\|^{2} \log \|\boldsymbol{x}\|+\mathrm{p} 5+n 42$ for first derivative approximations


## Future Work

- Transport
- 3D test cases on spherical shell (DCMIP test cases)
- More sophisticated fixer/limiter procedure
- Reduce parallel communication
- Shallow water equations
- Quantitative comparison to other methods
- Additional tests on the sphere from Williamson et al, JCP 1992
- Forced nonlinear system with a translating Low
- Evolution of highly nonlinear wave
- Nonhydrostatic Dynamical Core for climate/weather
- 2D benchmarks in Cartesian geometry with topography
- Fully 3D without using a terrain-following coordinate transformation
- Eulerian dynamics, semi-Lagrangian transport with fixer/limiter
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